

Vehicle Autonomy in Laneless Multi-Commodity and Heterogeneous Traffic

Ramkrishna Pasumarthy

Department of Electrical Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India

Connected and Autonomous Vehicles

Evolution of the automobile industry:

Purely mechanical systems \rightarrow electro-mechanical systems \rightarrow automotive CPS.



Resemblance of modern day vehicles[†]



Self Driving Cars†



What makes Indian Traffic problems hard? What are the challenges and opportunities does it present?

Multi-commodity and Heterogeneous Traffic





- ► Multi-Commodity traffic comprising vehicles with different dynamics.
- ► Heterogeneous traffic comprising vehicles with varying connectivity and control.
- ► Laneless traffic traffic without dedicated and distinct lanes.

Laneless Traffic

- ▶ Traffic flow models build upon concepts of 1-dimensional fluid flow.
- ▶ Properties satisfy due to presence of dedicated lanes.

Multi-Commodity Traffic

- ► Vehicles of different utility move on same lanes.
- ► Assumption of uniform vehicle dynamics no longer holds.

Heterogeneous Traffic

- ► Vehicles with different levels of autonomy present together in the same traffic.
- ► Decision making patterns vary and so do their cooperative nature.

Uncertainties





'How do we design a controller for partial or full autonomy of vehicle maneuver in laneless, multi-commodity and heterogeneous traffic?'



- ► To develop a new framework for laneless, multi-commodity and heterogeneous (LMH) traffic.
 - To develop models for **vehicle mobility** in LHM traffic scenario.
 - Control algorithm for maneuver of autonomous and semi-autonomous vehicles.
- ► To develop a scaled down test setup for exploring the feasibility and effectiveness of connected and (semi-)autonomous vehicles in LMH traffic scenario.
 - Development of small scale autonomous (and connected) electric vehicles.
 - To study the effects of **connectivity** and **autonomy** in LHM traffic scenario.



The elements of a vehicle transportation network, affecting the mobility of vehicles directly or indirectly, can be classified as:

- ► Vehicles
- ► Road side units
- ► Connected vehicle infrastructure
- ► Roads

'How to deal with all the elements together?'

¹Kumar, Subhadeep, Ramkrishna Pasumarthy, and Nirav P. Bhatt. "A Game Theoretic Formulation of Path Selection in Urban Transportation Networks." *2019 Fifth Indian Control Conference (ICC)*. IEEE, 2019.



The elements of a vehicle transportation network, affecting the mobility of vehicles directly or indirectly, can be classified as:

- ► Vehicles
- ▶ Road side units
- ► Connected vehicle infrastructure
- ► Roads

'How to deal with all the elements together?'

The vehicle transportation network can be formulated as a multilayered multiplexed network¹

¹Kumar, Subhadeep, Ramkrishna Pasumarthy, and Nirav P. Bhatt. "A Game Theoretic Formulation of Path Selection in Urban Transportation Networks." *2019 Fifth Indian Control Conference (ICC)*. IEEE, 2019.

Framework





Framework for vehicle mobility

Vehicle Autonomy in LMH Traffic

Control Strategy





Control strategy for (semi-)autonomous vehicles

Vehicle Autonomy in LMH Traffic



- Data for all possible scenarios is necessary for training the RL agent. cannot be obtained by real-life testing
- ► Vehicle simulator is one of the solution.
- ► Existing vehicle models and simulators captures mostly the steady state behaviour.
- ▶ Detailed model necessary to capture the transient behaviour.
- ▶ NMPC can take over the control at specific conditions and ensure safety.
- ► Also useful for predictive health monitoring. With a good model, state of the subsystems can be analysed in a better fashion.

Vehicle Model





Model block diagram for a combustion vehicle

Vehicle Autonomy in LMH Traffic



Game between nodes of the Vehicle Mobility Networks (vehicles) and the enterprises providing route assistance.

Objectives:

- ► Vehicle users: Reducing travel time, fuel consumption or comfortable trip.
- ► Enterprises: Increasing number of users.

Actions:

- ► Vehicle users: Follow path suggested by enterprise or not follow.
- **Enterprises:** Route determination for different origin-destination (OD) pairs.

Game Type:

► Combination of cooperative and non-cooperative game. Also repeated game.

Nature of Information: Incomplete



The game can be formulated as a repeated Stackelberg game

Utility of vehicle users:

Can be expressed as a function of **fuel consumption**, **travel time** and **comfort index** with time varying weights for each quantity.

$$U_i(\varkappa_i(t),t) = c_f^i(t)f_j^i(t) + c_t^i(t)T_{jf}^i(\varkappa_i) + c_c^i(t)C_j^i(\varkappa_i,t)$$

where

- ► c_{f}^{i} , c_{t}^{i} and c_{c}^{i} are the weights for fuel consumption, travel time and comfort of the passenger at time *t*.
- ► $C_i^i(\varkappa_i(t), t)$ is the comfort index of player v_i as a function of its location, $\varkappa_i(t)$, at time t.



Let,

- ▶ the number of available routes to an user, present in an edge between two nodes in the road network *m* and *n*, say e_{mn} , at time *t*, be $s_i(e_{mn}, t)$,
- ► the set of all possible routes be $r_i(e_{mn}, t) = \{r_j^i(t)\}_{j=1}^{s_i}$
- ▶ the travel time predicted by enterprise ε for the routes at time $(t_{k_{\varepsilon}})$ be $T_{f}^{i\varepsilon}(z_{i}^{0}) = \{T_{jf}^{i\varepsilon}\}_{j}^{s_{i\varepsilon}}$, where $s_{i\varepsilon}(t_{k_{\varepsilon}}) \leq s_{i}(t_{k_{\varepsilon}})$,
- ▶ the set of routes predicted by enterprise ε be $r_{i\varepsilon}(e_{mn}, t_{k_{\varepsilon}})$, and
- ► at time *t*, user in v_i use services of b(t) enterprises and the probability assigned for lottery of enterprise ε be β_i^{ε} .

The Path Selection Game



► For an user travelling in $v_i \in (V(t) \times L_v \times L_v)$, the lottery of route provided by enterprise ε is given by:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{i\varepsilon} &= \left(p_1^{i\varepsilon} : r_1^i(t_{k_{\varepsilon}}), \, p_2^{i\varepsilon} : r_2^i(t_{k_{\varepsilon}}), \cdots, \, p_{S_{i\varepsilon}}^{i\varepsilon} : r_{S_{i\varepsilon}}^i(t_{k_{\varepsilon}}) \right) \\ p_j^{i\varepsilon} \Big(r_j^i(t_{k_{\varepsilon}}) \Big) &= \begin{cases} \frac{\left(\sum_{k \neq j} (T_{k_{f}}^{i\varepsilon})^{-1} \right)^{-1}}{T_{jf}^{i\varepsilon} + \left(\sum_{k \neq j} (T_{k_{f}}^{i\varepsilon})^{-1} \right)^{-1}}, & \text{if } r_j^i(t_{k_{\varepsilon}}) \in r_i(e_{mn}, t_{k_{\varepsilon}}) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

▶ Then, at time t_{ρ_i} , where $t_{k_{\varepsilon}} \le t_{\rho_i} \le t_{k_{\varepsilon}+1} \forall \varepsilon$, the compound lottery is given by:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{i}(\mathbf{t}_{p_{i}}) &= \left(\beta_{i}^{1}:\mathcal{L}_{i1},\,\beta_{i}^{2}:\mathcal{L}_{i2},\cdots,\,\beta_{i}^{b(t_{p_{i}})}:\mathcal{L}_{ib(t_{p_{i}})}\right)\\ \beta_{j}^{i} &= \beta \left(\mathcal{L}_{ij}(t_{p_{i}}) \,\middle| \,\bigcup_{\kappa=0}^{t_{p_{i}}} \vartheta(\kappa)\right),\,\sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}}\beta_{j}^{i} \,=\, 1 \end{split}$$



► The simple lottery obtained from the compound lottery is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^i : r_1^i(t_{\rho_i}), \cdots, \alpha_{s_i(t_{\rho_i})}^i : r_1^i(t_{\rho_i}) \end{pmatrix} \\ \alpha_j^i = \sum_{\varepsilon} p_j^{i\varepsilon} \beta_{\varepsilon}^i$$

► Choice of route depends on the risk behaviour and utility of the user and varies from user to user.

► As users chooses their route, the enterprises provides another set of route prediction. Again the process repeats.

▶ In this game, **enterprises** are the leaders and **users** are the followers.

Example





Example



• Let the information sent to both vehicle from E_1 and E_2 be

(45 min : r_1 , 35 min : r_2 , 40 min : r_3 , 30 min : r_4 , 55 min : r_5) and (42 min : r_1 , 31 min : r_2 , 45 min : r_3 , 33 min : r_4 ,), respectively

▶ Then,

 $\mathcal{L}_1 = (0.175: r_1, 0.224: r_2, 0.196: r_3, 0.262: r_4, 0.143: r_5),$ $\mathcal{L}_2 = (0.219: r_1, 0.297: r_2, 0.205: r_3, 0.279: r_4, 0: r_5)$

• The final lottery of route for user in v_1 is

 $(0.201: r_1, 0.268: r_2, 0.201: r_3, 0.272: r_4, 0.057: r_5)$

- Since, the utility of user 1 is prioritized by least travel time, he/she chooses r_4 .
- ► The final lottery of route for user 2 is

 $(0.206: r_1, 0.275: r_2, 0.202: r_3, 0.274: r_4, 0.043: r_5)$

► The utility of user 2 is prioritized by least fuel consumption. So, user 2 chooses r_2 as the probability for r_2 and r_4 is almost same.



Cloud Infrastructure

 Eucalyptus and OpenStack cloud platform.

Electric Vehicles

 Small scale electric vehicles with few autonomous maneuvering features.



Electric Vehicle

Questions?